

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,920	07/25/2001	Toshio Asano	520.40381X00	9163
20457	7590 01/03/2005	EXAMINER		
	I, TERRY, STOUT & SEVENTEENTH STR	KIM, AHSHIK		
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	-
			DATE MAILED: 01/03/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_ ^
$\alpha$
vr≂
v

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/889,920	ASANO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ahshik Kim	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thiny period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>10/15/04 (Amendment)</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)						
, –	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-27,30 and 33-42</u> is/are penda   4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are versions   5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>26,35,38-40 and 42</u> is/are allo   6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-25,27,33,34,36 and 37</u> is/ar   7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>30 and 41</u> is/are objected to.   8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration. wed. re rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 July 2001 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<b>n</b> □ 1-1 (	Summany (DTO 442)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	948) Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/889,920 Page 2

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on October 15, 2004. In the amendment, claims 28, 29, 31, and 32 were canceled, and claims 22-27, 30 and 33-40 were amended. Currently, claims 22-27, 30, and 33-42 remain for examination.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 22-25, 27, 33, 34, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being
  unpatentable over Brass et al. (US 6,177,678, hereinafter "Brass") in view of Chappelle et al.
  (US 5,412,219, hereinafter "Chappelle").

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

Brass teaches a non-destructive surface inspection method comprising steps of irradiating the surface with an ultraviolet light on a surface of a specimen on which a magnetic and/or fluorescent particle is applied (col. 1, lines 18+; col. 1, lines 64+). Brass fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest of capturing the image of the surface through camera, and displaying the image on a screen. Brass is also silent about using a green (G) signal component of the image acquired by the camera.

Chappelle teaches a system and the method for determining surface characteristics (see abstract) wherein the image is captured through video camera or camera for image analysis (col. 1, lines 41+). The video camera is further equipped with filter (see abstract). Captured image is analyzed by the color such as blue, green, red and near infrared (col. 4, lines 17+). The wavelength of distinct color such as such as blue, green, red and near infrared can be considered luminance. Since the image is analyzed by the computer, it can be inferred that the image is displayed on the monitor or appropriate displaying apparatus.

In view of Chappelle's teaching, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ well-known camera to the teachings of Brass in order to store image in semi-permanent manner. Captured image can be later analyzed for various purposes. Use of camera such as video or CCD type in surface analysis embodiment is generally known in the art. Therefore, such modification would have been an obvious expedient, well within the ordinary skill in the art.

5. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chappelle et al. (US 5,412,219) in view of Silva, deceased et al. (US 4,978,862, hereinafter "Silva").

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

The teachings of Chappelle have been discussed above. Chappelle fails to specifically teach or fairly suggest that the specimen is illuminated with polarized light.

Silva teaches a method and apparatus for non-destructively measuring surface of an article (see abstract) wherein the illumination system includes a polarizing filter 62 (see figure 5; col. 11, lines 31-54).

In view of Silva's teaching, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ well-known optical filter as part of illumination system in order to correct the illumination beam to correct polarization. Polarization filter serves as a conduit collecting scatter beams and channel them into a target area. Use of polarization filter in optical apparatus, again is a common knowledge to one ordinary skill in the art.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 26, 35, 38-40, and 42 are allowed.
- 7. Claims 30 and 41 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the claims are directed at a method of inspecting a deficiency of a surface such as a fault or crack. As shown by previously cited references, applying an ultraviolet light of appropriate wavelength on the surface (on which fluorescent material was already injected or poured on) would cause the dye to fluoresce. Such method is generally known in the art. However, the cited references, taken alone or in combinations, fail to suggest or teach a deficiency inspection method wherein

Application/Control Number: 09/889,920 Page 5

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

chromaticity and luminance of each position of the image is used to detect a deficiency candidate as set forth in the claims.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's amendment and remarks filed on October 15, 2004 are carefully considered.

As indicated above, upon careful review, some of the claims are allowed or objected (which can be allowable to be dependent on the allowed claims).

It is the Examiner's opinion that the cited references, taken alone or in combination, still disclose the subject matter disclosed in the rejected claims.

Applicant argues that the Brass patent or Chappelle do not disclose a penetrant insepection and/or magnetic-particle inspection (See remarks page 13, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph). On the contrary, Brass discloses that <u>magnetic particle</u> and <u>liquid penetrant</u> are an essential part of the inspection scheme (col. 1, lines 64+).

Although Chappelle does not use the term "calibrate", the camera used in determining surface characteristics are equipped to detecting fluorescent emission emanating from the surface, characterizing a spectral content of the fluorescent emission.

The amended claims and remarks describing these elements have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive, and therefore, the Examiner has made this Office Action final.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2876

5

10

15

20

25

30

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahshik Kim whose telephone number is (571)272-2393. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 6:00AM to 3:00PM Monday thru Friday. The fax number directly to the Examiner is (571)273-2393.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee, can be reached on (571)272-2398. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9306.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [ahshik.kim@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Ahshik Kim Patent Examiner Art Unit 2876 December 23, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800